IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

SHAN HUBSMITH,

Plaintiff,

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM JUDGMENT

v.

SECURIAN LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY and SECURIAN FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:25-cv-00423-TC

Judge Tena Campbell

Before the court is a motion for relief from judgment under Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure filed by Plaintiff Shan Hubsmith. (ECF No. 9.) The court previously dismissed this action after granting the Defendants' motion to dismiss. (See Mem. Decision & Order, July 8, 2025, ECF No. 7; Judgment, ECF No. 8.) In their motion, the Defendants argued that Mr. Hubsmith failed to a state a claim upon which relief could be granted because his claims were preempted by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1001 et seq.. (Mot. Dismiss, ECF No. 6.) The Defendants' motion was unopposed because Mr. Hubsmith did not file an opposition within the time allotted by the local rules. See DuCivR 7-1(a)(4)(A)(iii).

Mr. Hubsmith argues that he did not respond to the Defendants' motion to dismiss due to a "calendaring error" and because the parties were engaged in settlement negotiations. (ECF No. 9 at 2.) Setting aside whether these reasons constitute excusable neglect, the court notes that Mr. Hubsmith has only addressed his failure to respond to the Defendants' motion and not the

merits of that motion or of the court's order. Specifically, Mr. Hubsmith has not provided any authority or argument to suggest that his claims are not preempted by ERISA.

Accordingly, the court finds no reason to reconsider or set aside its previous judgment.

Mr. Hubsmith's motion for relief under Rule 60(b) is therefore DENIED.

SO ORDERED this 8th day of August, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

Tena Campbell

United States District Judge